

MR. NILGIRI SANJIVIAH requested that the resolution might be admitted as he represented minority interests.

MR. M. P. SOMASEKHARA RAO said that even then the resolution should have been sent within the prescribed time.

MR. RAMADOSS said that it could be admitted at any time as Mr. Sanjiviah represented minority interests.

MR. K. T. BHASHYAM IYENGAR said that any subject might be permitted before the agenda was prepared and printed and that after that, nothing could be permitted.

MR. MAHOMED ABBAS KHAN said that there were several instances in the past where such resolutions were admitted by the President.

MR. M. P. SOMASEKHARA RAO then read out Rule 37 and pointed out that resolutions should be sent in proper time and that the House should not be taken by surprise.

THE PRESIDENT promised to consider the matter and give his decision later.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

MR. H. B. GUNDAPPA (Hassan Taluk).—

Q.—33. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) The total strength of the students in the Lower Secondary classes throughout the State in the years 1925-26, 1926-27 and 1927-28 respectively?

(b) Of these, how many were allowed to sit for the final examination in each of the above years?

(c) How many of the sent-up students were declared to have passed in each of the above years?

(d) Among those who were not allowed to sit for the final examination, how many had not kept up the required term in each year?

(e) What is the total strength of the students who were not allowed to sit for the examination in each of the above years?

(f) How many of the sent-up students were first year students, and how many had been detained in the same class in previous years in each of the three years?

(g) Will the Government be pleased to order that every student in the Lower Secondary Class be allowed to sit for the final examination without any hindrance?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—33. (a), (d), (e) and (f). The information is not readily available.

	1926	1927	1928
(b) English Lower Secondary	4,818	5,482	5,553
Vernacular Lower Secondary	3,430	3,251	3,642
(c) English Lower Secondary	2,131	2,225	2,058
Vernacular Lower Secondary	1,086	1,103	952

(g) The existing rule is that no pupil in the fourth year Middle School Class who has put in the required term of attendance shall be detained from going up for the Lower Secondary Examination except for gross breach of discipline or unsatisfactory progress in studies.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

Answers to Questions (a), (d), (e) and (f) have not been given.

Third Member of Council.—

It is stated there that information is not readily available.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

That is not a satisfactory answer. Are the Government aware of the object with which these questions have been brought up?

Third Member of Council.—

Yes.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

Will you please let me know what it is?

Third Member of Council.—

You know it better.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

My object is to bring it to the notice of Government that the Head Masters of certain schools are training only a few students for the Lower Secondary Examination in

order to get a good name for themselves. Has it come to the notice of Government that many students are not allowed to appear for the examination?

Third Member of Council.—

No.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

Will the Government be pleased to investigate into the matter?

Third Member of Council.—

If you bring up this question next time and if you give sufficient notice, we shall enquire.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

Will the Government at least say what is the reason for the Head Masters not allowing many candidates to appear for the examination?

Third Member of Council.—

It may be due to shortness of attendance, breach of discipline, unsatisfactory progress, etc.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

On what standard is the unsatisfactory progress based?

Third Member of Council.—

It is left to the discretion of the Head Masters.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

Can the Head Masters find out the progress?

Third Member of Council.—

If the Head Masters cannot, who else can? They test the progress of the students by general and selection examinations. The selection of students is left to the discretion of the Head Masters.

Mr. Venkatappa.—

Do Government think that it is necessary to hold selection examinations?

Third Member of Council.—

That is not a supplementary question.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa —

Will the Government be pleased to enquire into the matter?

Third Member of Council.—

We want notice.

MESSRS. T. S. MANGESHA RAO (Sagar Taluk), B. GOPALACHAR (Tirthahalli Taluk), B. VANKATAGIRIAPPA (Sagar Taluk), THUDKI RAMANNA GOWDA (Tirthahalli Taluk), A. N. VENKOBA RAO (Kumsi Sub-Taluk) and KATTE DACAPPA (Tirthahalli Taluk).—

Q.—36. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) The number of Prosecuting Inspectors (in the Police Department)?

(2) The number of graduates and double graduates in the Inspectorate (in the Police Department)?

(3) The number of Prosecuting Inspectors taken from among the graduates and double graduates?

(4) Whether any qualifications are prescribed for the appointment of Prosecuting Inspectors; if not, whether it is not necessary to post only graduates (with a knowledge of Law) and double graduates for this important branch of service?

(5) Whether the Government are aware that though graduates and double graduates are available in the Police Department, many Inspectors with little or no qualifications and experience are posted as Prosecuting Inspectors?

(6) Whether, though the cadre expects and provides for the appointment of only qualified men on a high salary, yet this rule is more honoured in the breach and inexperienced and unqualified low grade Inspectors and sometimes even Jamadars are being posted as Prosecuting Inspectors to the detriment of prosecution work?

(7) Whether Government have recognised that the Police Department is a technical one with so many important branches such as investigation, detection, prosecution of crime, etc.?